## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

| Date:_   | 10/13/25 Inspector: KYK  | <u>EAC</u> | LS/18 | Question .   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Time;_   | 2:00 pm Weather Conditions; Sur  | 1~1        | 48    |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  |  | Yes        | No    | Notes  |  |  |  |  |
| CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) |  |            |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:   | Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  |            | X     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.   | Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? |            | X     | Samuel Land Control of |  |  |  |  |
| <b>3</b> €   | Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.      |            | X     |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCR F  | igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(  | 4))        |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.   | Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.   | K          |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.   | Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  | X          |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| :6.  | If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?         |            | K.    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.   | Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  |            | 人     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.   | Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.   |            | 7     | The Late of the Control of the Contr |  |  |  |  |
| 9.   | Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.   | X          |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.  | Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question  |            | K     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.  | Were the citizen complaints logged?  |            | Ø     |  |  |  |  |  |

| Additional Notes:  |  | *  |   |                               |
|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------|
| - same and the state of the sta |  |  |   | 4) - 1-1                      |
|  |  | 4  |   | ***                           |
| Standarding designation and the standards  | to the law of the price of the second of the | LA MORALEST A SOLVE OF THE                                 | 918 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Samuel Service of the Control |
|  | 2 - 1122 - 11 reflection communication   | ribbran (1926 - 1904), aki kaca daga ada daga da daga kaca |   |                               |
|  |  |  |   |                               |
|  |  |  |   |                               |